
Rule WLM131: Significant transaction time was in Waiting for Another Product state

Finding: A significant amount of the transaction response time for the service class missing its performance goal was spent in the Waiting for Another Product state. This finding applies to service classes which are part of a subsystem (e.g., CICS transactions).

Impact: This finding has MEDIUM IMPACT or HIGH IMPACT on performance of the service class. The level of impact depends on the percent of transaction response time spent in the Waiting for Another Product state.

Logic flow: The following rules cause this rule to be invoked:

- Rule WLM104: Subsystem Service Class did not achieve average response goal
- Rule WLM105: Subsystem Service Class did not achieve percentile response goal

Discussion: When CPEXpert produces Rule WLM104 or Rule WLM105 to indicate that a subsystem service class did not achieve its performance goal, the logic of these rules tries to identify the cause of the delay. The cause of the delay initially is analyzed from the "served" service class view. The delays from the served service class are reported by CICS/ESA Version 4.1 or IMS Version 5 interaction with the Workload Manager, using the Workload Management Services macros¹.

CICS/ESA Version 4.1 reports two separate views of the transactions: the *begin_to_end phase* and the *execution phase*².

- **Begin_to_end phase.** The *begin_to_end phase* starts when CICS/ESA Version 4.1 has classified the transaction³. This action normally is done in a CICS Terminal Owning Region (TOR).
- **Execution phase.** The *execution phase* starts when either CICS/ESA Version 4.1 or IMS Version 5 has started an application task to process

¹Please refer to Section 4 of this document for more detail about the Workload Management Services macros and how the subsystems use these macros to exchange information with the Workload Manager.

²IMS Version 5 reports only *execution phase* samples.

³Classifying the transaction into a service class is actually done by the Workload Manager when CICS issues the IWMCLSFY macro. Please refer to Section 4 for a more complete discussion of the subsystem work manager (e.g., CICS) interaction with the Workload Manager.

the transaction. For CICS, this normally is done in a CICS Application Owning Region (AOR).

Within each phase, CICS or IMS reports the "state" of the transaction, from the view of CICS or IMS. The state of the transaction is reported in the following categories⁴:

- **Idle state.**
- **Active state.**
- **Ready state.**
- **Wait state.**
- **Switched state.**

If the subsystem supports work manager delay reporting, the delay information is available in the "Work Manager/Resource Manger State Section" of SMF Type 72 (Subtype 3) records. When a transaction service class fails to achieve its performance goal, CPExpert analyzes the information to identify the primary and secondary causes of delay.

The Wait state indicates that a task in support of the transaction was waiting on some activity. The Wait state is broken into several categories: waiting for lock, waiting for I/O, waiting for conversation, waiting for distributed request, waiting for a session to be established (locally, somewhere in the network, or somewhere in the sysplex), waiting for a timer, waiting for another product, or waiting for an unidentified resource.

CICS reports the time when a work unit (that is, a task in support of a transaction) was waiting for another product. The information provided by RMF does not identify the other product, but the product usually is DBCTL or DB2.

CPExpert produces Rule WLM131 when the primary or secondary cause of delay was that the transaction service class was in the Waiting for Another Product state for a significant percent of its response time.

The following example illustrates the output from Rule WLM131:

⁴Please refer to Section 4 of this document for a more comprehensive discussion of the transaction states and the interaction between the subsystem (CICS or IMS) and the Workload Manager.

RULE WLM131: SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTION TIME WAS WAITING, ANOTHER PRODUCT

A significant amount of the transaction response time for CICSAMP Service Class was spent waiting for another product. The information provided by RMF does not identify the other product, but the product usually is DBCTL or DB2. If this finding regularly occurs, you may wish to review the products used by these CICS tasks to determine whether their delays can be reduced.

Suggestion: If this finding occurs often, CPExpert suggests that you review the products used by the service class. These products typically will be DBCTL or DB2. If the delay is significant, you may be able to achieve the performance goals for the service class only if the performance of the other product can be improved.

Reference: CICS/ESA Version 4.1 Performance Guide
Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section
Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.1 Performance Guide
Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section
Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.2 Performance Guide
Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section
Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.3 Performance Guide
Section 2.6.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section
Section 2.6.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS for z/OS Release 2.1 *Performance Guide*: Chapter 8 (Managing Workloads).

CICS/TS for z/OS Release 2.2 *Performance Guide*: Chapter 8 (Managing Workloads). |